HIGHLIGHTS AND BREAKTHROUGHS Making a fine-scale ruler for oxide inclusions

DONGZHOU ZHANG^{1,*}

¹Hawai'i Institute of Geophysics and Planetology, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, U.S.A.

Constraining the phase relations and valence state of ironbearing oxides is crucial to understanding the chemistry of Earth's mantle. In this issue of *American Mineralogist*, Uenver-Thiele et al. (2017a) present an experimental study on the phase diagrams of magnesioferrite-magnetite solid solutions at high pressures and high temperatures. By analyzing the compositions of the quenched products, Uenver-Thiele et al. were able to constrain the phase diagram of the (Mg,Fe²⁺)Fe³⁺O₄ series, and they identified several new phases with non-conventional stoichiometry. From the phase diagrams of (Mg,Fe²⁺)Fe³⁺O₄ spinels determined in this study and the stability fields of the new phases, the authors proposed an empirical method to recover the petrological history of magnesium-iron oxide inclusions in natural diamonds.

Oxygen and iron are the two most abundant elements in the Earth, and their compounds, the iron oxides, are common in the crust and the mantle. Iron is the most important multivalent transitional metal in the mantle, and the stability fields of different iron oxides covers the mantle conditions in the P-T- f_{02} space. Certain iron oxides, such as magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and wüstite $(Fe_{1-x}O)$ and their solid solutions with periclase (MgO), have been used as scales to constrain the P-T- f_{O_2} history of petrological assemblages. One application of such an "oxide scale" is to determine the petrological history of diamonds with inclusions. For example, diamonds with ferropericlase [(Mg,Fe)O] inclusions are usually associated with a lower mantle origin (Wirth et al. 2014), whereas magnesioferrite (MgFe₂O₄) inclusions have a maximum formation pressure of 8-10 GPa (Uenver-Thiele et al. 2017b). The oxygen fugacity of petrological experiment is usually associated with a certain redox reaction, and redox reactions between iron oxides, such as wüstite, magnetite, and hematite, have been established as standard oxygen fugacity buffers (Myers and Eugster 1983).

In the past few years, several non-conventional iron oxides, including Fe_4O_5 , Fe_5O_6 , Fe_5O_7 , Fe_7O_9 , $Fe_{13}O_{19}$, $Fe_{25}O_{32}$, and FeO_2 have been identified experimentally (Bykova et al. 2016; Hu et al. 2016; Lavina et al. 2011; Lavina and Meng 2015; Merlini et al. 2015; Sinmyo et al. 2016). These non-conventional iron oxides form homologous series from several fundamental iron-oxygen polyhedral blocks (Bykova et al. 2016; Guignard and Crichton 2014). Some of these non-conventional iron oxides are quenchable at ambient condition with oxygen fugacities appropriate to the mantle (Guignard and Crichton 2014; Lavina et al. 2011). Besides pure iron oxides, their variants have been identified by substituting Fe^{2+} with Mg^{2+} (Boffa Ballaran et al. 2015; Uenver-Thiele et al. 2017a, 2017b). These non-conventional oxides could be incorporated into the "oxide scale" to constrain the *P*-*T*-*f*_{O2} history of petrological assemblages, once their phase diagrams and stability fields are established.

In the study by Uenver-Thiele et al. (2017a), the phase relations of magnesium-iron oxide spinels were explored at high-pressure and high-temperature conditions. Two starting materials, $MgFe_2O_4$ and $Mg_{0.5}Fe_{2.5}^{3+}Fe_{2.}^{3+}O_4$, were compressed with multi-anvil presses to 23 GPa and 1500 °C. The oxygen fugacity was carefully controlled in the experiments. The run products were quenched to ambient conditions before further analysis with electron microprobe, backscattered electron image, and X-ray powder diffraction.

Uenver-Thiele et al. first investigated the MgFe₂O₄ system. They found that MgO+Fe₂O₃ were the stable assemblage at 1200 °C. At 20 GPa and 1400–1500 °C, the coexistence of Mg₂Fe₂O₅+Fe₂O₃ and hp-MgFe₂O₄ phases were observed, and a new phase with the stoichiometry of Mg₃Fe₄O₉ were found at 20 GPa, 1300 °C and 23 GPa, 1500 °C, coexisting with Fe₂O₃ and hp-MgFe₂O₄. Uenver-Thiele et al. suggested that MgO, Fe₂O₃, Mg₂Fe₂O₅, and Mg₃Fe₄O₉ could coexist at ~19 GPa and 1200–1250 °C.

As for the Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}²⁺G₂⁴⁺O₄ system, periclase was no longer observed in the investigated *P*-*T* range. The Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}²⁺Fe₂³⁺O₄ spinel broke down to MgFe²⁺Fe₂³⁺O₅ + Fe₂O₃ at 11 GPa and 1000–1600 °C, and the phase boundary was indistinguishable from that for the Fe₃O₄ end-member (Woodland et al. 2012). When the pressure went higher than 16 GPa, a single phase of hp-Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}²⁺Fe₂³⁺O₄ became stable. At 15 GPa and 1600 °C, Uenver-Thiele et al. identified a new phase of Mg_{1.37}Fe_{1.63}Fe₄³⁺O₉, another solid solution in the (Mg,Fe²⁺)₃Fe₄³⁺O₉ series. X-ray powder diffraction suggested that the (Mg,Fe²⁺)₃Fe₄³⁺O₉ had the same *C*2/*m* structure as Fe₇O₉ (Sinmyo et al. 2016).

One interesting application of this study is to constrain the precipitation condition of magnetite inclusions in diamonds. Uenver-Thiele et al. propose an empirical way to determine the precipitation pressure of the quenched magnetite inclusions in diamonds: since the phase diagrams presented in this study suggest that the hp-(Mg,Fe²⁺)Fe₂³⁺O₄ phase cannot directly transform into the spinel structure, if the precipitated magnetite inclusion has an euhedral morphology, it is likely to come from the partial oxidation of ferropericlase; otherwise, if the magnetite inclusion demonstrates a twinned texture, some kind of precursor phases such as the $(Mg,Fe^{2+})_2Fe_2^{3+}O_5$ and/or $(Mg,Fe^{2+})_3Fe_4^{3+}O_9$ phases might be involved in the precipitation process. To sum up, this study has extended our understanding about the high-pressure-high-temperature phase diagrams of magnesium-iron oxides, and provides more detailed constraints on the petrological history of natural diamonds that contain magnesium-iron oxide inclusions.

^{*} E-mail: dzhang@hawaii.edu

ZHANG: MAKING A FINE-SCALE RULER FOR OXIDE INCLUSIONS

REFERENCES CITED

- Boffa Ballaran, T., Uenver-Thiele, L., and Woodland, A.B. (2015) Complete substitution of Fe^{2+} by Mg in Fe_4O_5 : The crystal structure of the $Mg_2Fe_2O_5$ end-member. American Mineralogist, 100, 628–632.
- Bykova, E., Dubrovinsky, L., Dubrovinskaia, N., Bykov, M., McCammon, C., Ovsyannikov, S.V., Liermann, H.P., Kupenko, I., Chumakov, A.I., Ruffer, R., Hanfland, M., and Prakapenka, V. (2016) Structural complexity of simple Fe₂O₃ at high pressures and temperatures. Nature Communications, 7, 10,661.
- Guignard, J., and Crichton, W.A. (2014) Synthesis and recovery of bulk Fe₄O₅ from magnetite, Fe₃O₄. A member of a self-similar series of structures for the lower mantle and transition zone. Mineralogical Magazine, 78(2), 361–371.
- Hu, Q.Y., Kim, D.Y., Yang, W.G., Yang, L.X., Meng, Y., Zhang, L., and Mao, H.K. (2016) FeO₂ and FeOOH under deep lower-mantle conditions and Earth's oxygen-hydrogen cycles. Nature, 534, 241–244.
- Lavina, B., and Meng, Y. (2015) Unraveling the complexity of iron oxides at high pressure and temperature: Synthesis of Fe₅O₆. Science Advances, 1(5), e1400260.
- Lavina, B., Dera, P., Kim, E., Meng, Y., Downs, R.T., Weck, P.F., Sutton, S.R., and Zhao, Y.S. (2011) Discovery of the recoverable high-pressure iron oxide Fe₄O₅. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(42), 17,281–17,285.
- Merlini, M., Hanfland, M., Salamat, A., Petitgirard, S., and Muller, H. (2015) The crystal structures of Mg₂Fe₂C₄O₁₃, with tetrahedrally coordinated carbon, and Fe₁₃O₁₉, synthesized at deep mantle conditions. American Mineralogist,

100, 2001–2004.

- Myers, J., and Eugster, H.P. (1983) The system Fe-Si-O—Oxygen buffer calibrations to 1,500K. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 82(1), 75–90.
- Sinmyo, R., Bykova, E., Ovsyannikov, S.V., McCammon, C., Kupenko, I., Ismailova, L., and Dubrovinsky, L. (2016) Discovery of Fe₇O₉: a new iron oxide with a complex monoclinic structure. Scientific Reports, 6, 32852.
- Uenver-Thiele, L., Woodland, A.B., Boffa Ballaran, T., Miyajima, N., and Frost, D.J. (2017a) Phase relations of Fe-Mg spinels including new high-pressure post-spinel phases and implications for natural samples. American Mineralogist, 102, 2054–2064.
- (2017b) Phase relations of MgFe₂O₄ at conditions of the deep upper mantle and transition zone. American Mineralogist, 102, 632–642.
- Wirth, R., Dobrzhinetskaya, L., Harte, B., Schreiber, A., and Green, H.W. (2014) High-Fe (Mg,Fe)O inclusion in diamond apparently from the lowermost mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 404, 365–375.
- Woodland, A.B., Frost, D.J., Trots, D.M., Klimm, K., and Mezouar, M. (2012) In situ observation of the breakdown of magnetite (Fe₃O₄) to Fe₄O₅ and hematite at high pressures and temperatures. American Mineralogist, 97, 1808–1811.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED JUNE 23, 2017 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED JUNE 29, 2017 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY KEITH PUTIRKA

Interested in minerals, rocks, and the processes that form them? Learn about the



(Sliced and polished, color-zones tourmaline, Plumbago Mining's Newry or Mt. Mica deposit, Newry, Maine, U.S.A.)